



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,382	09/26/2003	Bharat T. Doshi	Doshi 55-7-23-15-35	8409
46850 7590 08/17/2007 MENDELSON & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			EXAMINER MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D	
			ART UNIT 2113	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/17/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/673,382	DOSHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Joseph D. Manoskey	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph D. Manoskey. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Edward Meisarosh (Reg. No. 57,463). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.


Identification of prior art discussed: Grover.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
BRYCE P. BONZO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Meisarosh discussed with The Examiner possible amendments to claim 1 to overcome the prior art of record. The Examiner suggested some possible ways to clarify the limitation and informed Mr. Meisarosh that proposed amendment overcame the current rejection. Mr. Meisarosh also discussed the "has been calculated" limitation of claim 21 and argued that this limitations was not taught by the prior art. It was discussed possibly changing the limitation to "has previously been calculated" and moving the limitation out of the preamble to further clarify and give more emphasis to the limitation. The Examiner argeed with Mr. Meisarosh that the limitation was not taught by the prior art.